This Action Plan describes, in broad terms, the initiatives that the councils and their PFI contractor have identified as being important to the improvement and advancement of waste management services within Bracknell Forest, Reading and Wokingham Borough's over the next 5 years. The Actions will be reviewed annually to record progress, re-assess priorities, make additions and ensure relevance.

ACTION PLAN 'PRIORITY' COLUMN

The second column, in the Action Plan below, describes the priority given to each action by the councils. The priorities are described in terms of the order in which they will be addressed. For example, an action which has been identified by the councils as being a high priority is likely to be addressed before one with a low priority. Priorities change, however, and the councils will review these actions on an annual basis.

Key to Priority Column

High Priority: addressed within 2 years = H
Medium Priority: addressed within 4 years = M
Low Priority: addressed within 5 years = L

| Action | Priority | Link to other Objectives | How will it be done? | How will it be measured? | Responsibility | Status |
|---|----------|--------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|--------|
| THE LOCAL COMMUNITY AND BUSINE | | | | | | |
| OBJECTIVE 1: The re3 councils will be 'waste awareness' to effect positive | | | ation in recycling and | composting and s | eek to further ra | ise |
| Target new residents with | Н | 3, 9 | Councils seek to | Number of | Councils | |
| important information on waste | | | involve estate | information | | |
| services | | | agencies, landlords | packs issued | | |
| | | | and other routes | | | |
| | | | e.g. nurseries, | | | |
| | | | schools and clinics | | | |
| Raise overall understanding of | Н | 3, 9 | Promotional | Customer | Councils and | |
| residents for the need and | | | activity - delivering | feedback | Contractor | |
| requirements of recycling services. | | | information in | | | |
| | | | important places | | | |
| | | | e.g. the home, | | | |
| | | | shops, work, school | | | |
| Targeting non-participants in | Н | 3, 9 | Identifying non- | Participation | Councils | |
| kerbside recycling and composting | | | participants and | rates | | |
| services | | | addressing the | | | |
| | | | barriers to | | | |

| | | | participation. | | |
|-------------------------------------|----|----------|---------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| Improve efficiency of participation | Н | 3, 9 | Messages targeted | Waste | Councils |
| in recycling and composting | | | by relevant | composition, | |
| services | | | stakeholder group | output statistics | |
| | | | or by material type | from MRF | |
| | | | (e.g. if capture of | | |
| | | | plastic is low) | | |
| Promote and sustain use of home | M | 9, 10 | Assess results of | Number issued | Councils |
| composters and food digesters | | | appropriate trials, | | |
| | | | arrange sale, | Feedback from | Contractor |
| | | | promotion, and | users | |
| | | | education. | | |
| | | | Introduce measures | Waste statistics | |
| | | | to support users | - reduction in | |
| | | 1 | | landfill BMW | |
| Increase bring sites in existing | M | 9, 10 | Assess potential | Increases in | Councils/Cont |
| areas and new developments for | | | sites (and | number of bring | ractor |
| materials not collected at kerbside | | | materials) and | sites and in | |
| | | | liaise with PFI | tonnage | |
| | | 2 0 40 | Contractor | collected | 6 11 /6 1 |
| Reduce putrescible waste in | Н | 3, 8,10 | Promotion and | Waste | Councils/Cont |
| residual bins through behaviour | | | education, | composition | ractor |
| change | | | campaigns such as | D | |
| | | | 'Love Food, Hate | Reduction in | |
| | | | Waste', real nappy | landfill BMW | |
| la ava a a a versala ava a f | ** | 2 0 40 | campaigns | la avana | Carradia |
| Increase number of | M | 3, 9, 10 | Assess local, | Increase | Councils |
| HWRC/waste/composting sites, | | | licensed sites (3 rd | number of sites, | Contro et eu |
| including those for single | | | Party/ Council) | reduction in | Contractor |

| materials, to increase accessibility | | | | vehicle movements or miles travelled to sites for residents/counc il vehicles, reduce carbon footprint | 3 rd Parties | |
|--|--------------|--------------|---|--|-------------------------|----------|
| OBJECTIVE 2: The councils will seek their waste | to support (| ocal busines | sses, particularly smal | to meaium enter | prises(SME's), in | reducing |
| Scoping exercise to ascertain needs and opportunities for supporting SME's | Н | 10 | Apply for BREW funding, possibly undertake feasibility work | If it is completed or not | Councils | |
| Encourage and support SME's in recycling more | M | 10 | Encourage co- operation between SME's, information, web-based resources | Increase in number of SME's recycling | Councils Contractor | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| COUNCIL COLLECTION SERVICES | | | | | | |
| OBJECTIVE 3: The re3 councils will so collection services and maximise the | | | | | | neir |
| Investigate 'Pay as You Throw' schemes | M | 1, 9, 8 | Monitor results of trials carried out elsewhere, assess appropriateness for | - | Councils | |

| | | | re3 councils | | |
|--|---|----------|---|--|---------------------|
| Investigate use of incentives in increasing recycling and waste minimisation | M | 1, 9, 8 | Incentivise use of smaller bins or increasing recycling | Number of bins 'slimmed' and overall capture of recycling | Councils |
| Investigate the options, cost and necessity for food waste collections | L | 1, 9, 10 | Availability of processing capacity. Feasibility and analysis of positive impact (including LATS) | Cost benefit analysis | Councils Contractor |
| Investigate performance requirement to increase frequency of recycling collections | M | 1, 9, 10 | Feasibility and cost benefit analysis based on needs of councils in achieving statutory targets | - | Councils |
| Encourage residents to reduce side waste and contamination (e.g. green waste in residual, unwanted items in recyclables) | Н | 1 | Education, communications, escalation to enforcement in persistent cases, investigation of best practice, working with collection crews | Reduction in side waste, collection crew records, | Councils |
| Investigate feasibility and benefits of shared collection services | M | 1, 9, 10 | Feasibility and cost benefit analysis, | Report on feasibility | Councils |

| between re3 councils | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-----------|
| Develop proposals for increasing | М | 1, 9,10, | Investigate best | Proposals made | Councils | |
| efficiency in collection services | | 1, 1,10, | practice and new | prior to councils | | |
| prior to letting of next contract(s) | | | concepts for round | tendering | | |
| 3 | | | scheduling | process | | |
| WASTE MANAGEMENT FACILITIES | | | | <u>'</u> | | |
| OBJECTIVE 4: The re3 councils, in pa | artnership w | ith their PF | contractor, will striv | e to ensure contin | uous improvemer | nt in the |
| effectiveness, efficiency and quality | | | | | • | |
| Seek to ensure that destination of | Н | 8 | Information | By council | Contractor/Co | |
| council waste and recycling is | | | provided on | checks that | uncils | |
| known in accordance with | | | reprocessors used | information is | | |
| prevailing legislation and best | | | by councils and PFI | provided in | | |
| practice | | | contractor | accordance | | |
| | | | | with PFI | | |
| | | | | contract | | |
| Maximise number of materials that | M | 1, 3, 8, 9 | Feasibility study, | Increase in type | Councils/Cont | |
| re3 MRF can process | | | impact assessment, | and amount of | ractor | |
| | | | negotiation with | material | | |
| | | | PFI Contractor | collected and | | |
| | | | | processed | | |
| Investigate options for alternative | L | 9, 10 | Feasibility study | Reduction in | Councils/Cont | |
| waste treatment technologies for | | | and assessment of | tonnage to | ractor | |
| that waste which remains being | | | available | landfill via | | |
| sent to landfill | | | processing | alternative | | |
| | | | capacity, | technologies | | |
| | | | negotiations with | | | |
| | | | contractor | | | |
| 'Dirty' MRF at Longshot Lane HWRC | Н | 8, 9, 10 | Assessment of PFI | Analysis of | Contractor | |
| to further increase recycling | | | contractors | proposals and | | |

| | | | proposals for this element | impact on contract and contractual performance | Councils | |
|--|---|-------|--|---|-------------------------|-----|
| Controls at HWRC's on waste inputs | Н | 1, 10 | Assess impact of wastes, cost, best practice elsewhere, develop appropriate limits, approve, negotiate with contractor | Composition of waste at HWRC's, costs | Councils/Cont ractor | |
| | | | | | | |
| OBJECTIVE 5: The council, in partner friendly, provide excellent customer | | | | nsure that Contrac | t Facilities are us | ser |
| Residents user satisfaction survey on Household Waste Recycling Centres | Н | 1 | Annual User Satisfaction survey at HWRC's | Results of survey | Contractor Councils | |
| Council staff user satisfaction survey on Contract Facilities | Н | 3 | Annual user satisfaction survey for council staff using Contract Facilities | Results of survey | Councils Contractor | |
| Encourage and maintain safe and responsible behaviour by staff using Contract Facilities | Н | 3 | Education, 'crew of the month/year' style awards to best users, self monitoring and reporting systems | No of incidents/accid ents | Councils/Cont ractor | |

| PRIVATE AND CHARITY SECTORS | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|----------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|--|--|--|
| OBJECTIVE 6: The councils will develop policies and approaches for managing recyclable and reusable waste in partnership | | | | | | | | |
| with the 'charity' and voluntary sector where it is appropriate. | | | | | | | | |
| Increasing re-use of bulky items | Н | 3, 9 | Councils will seek | Number of dual | Councils | | | |
| (especially furniture) | | | to develop a 'dual | bookings | | | | |
| | | | booking' system to | | Contractor | | | |
| | | | divert re-usable | Increase in re- | | | | |
| | | | items to | use | | | | |
| | | | charity/voluntary | | | | | |
| | | | sector partner(s) | | | | | |
| Working with voluntary and charity | M | 1, 9 | Discussions with | Number of | Councils/Cont | | | |
| sector to explore treatment of | | | appropriate | partnership | ractor | | | |
| items not easily recycled or re- | | | partners, | agreements in | | | | |
| used. | | | feasibility and | place | | | | |
| | | | impact assessments | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| OBJECTIVE 7: The councils will enga | | | | in the retail indus | try, to deliver | | | |
| improvements in waste minimisation | | | | T | | | | |
| Encourage local reduction of | Н | 1, 9, 10 | Carrier bag | Waste analysis | Councils | | | |
| packaging in waste stream | | | reduction areas, | | | | | |
| | | | working with local | Number of | Contractor | | | |
| | | | retailers (including | carrier bag | | | | |
| | | | independent | reduction areas | | | | |
| | | | retailers), | \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ | | | | |
| | | | education and | Number of | | | | |
| | | | promotion | retailers | | | | |
| | | 4 0 40 | campaigns | partnered with | 6 1 | | | |
| Encourage reduction in packaging | Н | 1, 9, 10 | As a partnership, | - | Councils | | | |
| on a national level | | | the councils lobby | | | | | |

| | | | Gov't. Also via | | Contractor |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|------------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| | | | LGA, WRAP, | | |
| | | | NAWDO, CIWM | | |
| GOVERNMENT AND LEGISLATION | | | | | |
| OBJECTIVE 8: The councils will ensur | | | new and emerging le | gislation is achieve | ed and that the |
| implications (e.g. environmental, ec | | | | | |
| Implement systems to comply with | Н | 9 | Update statistical | Reporting of | Councils |
| the new waste National Indicators | | | reports | statistical | |
| for 2008/9 and LAA indicators if | | | | information | |
| applicable | | | | | |
| Investigate the implication of the | | 9 | Measures | Information | Councils |
| forthcoming legislation and take | Н | | implemented as | from Contractor | Contractor |
| appropriate measures to ensure | | | appropriate to | | |
| compliance e.g The Battery | | | ensure compliance | | |
| directive September 2008 | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| OBJECTIVE 9: The councils will strive | e, in partner | ship with th | eir PFI Contractor, to | exceed all relevan | nt waste related |
| performance targets. | | | | | |
| Modelling of councils waste | Н | 1,3,10 | Development of | Comparison of | Councils |
| performance to assess performance | | | existing work on | actual | |
| against statutory and contractual | | | modelling, analysis | performance v | Contractor |
| targets | | | of performance, | necessary | |
| | | | proposals of areas | performance | |
| | | | for | | |
| | | | action/improveme | | |
| | | | nt | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| ENVIRONMENTAL COMMITMENT | | • | | | |

OBJECTIVE 10: The re3 councils will work with their contractors and other partners to ensure that sustainability and efficiency is considered, in all aspects of their waste management activities, and that they minimise the carbon footprint of waste operations.

| Waste from council offices to be recycled and minimised | Н | Reducing capacity for residual waste, assessing costs by | Overall waste Composition | Councils | |
|---|---|---|----------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| | | source, layout of bins in offices, | Composition | | |
| Develop methods to assess Carbon efficiency in waste services | M | procurement Investigate available measures, assess efficiency of current services, propose changes where necessary or appropriate | Measures in place | Councils/Cont ractor | |
| | | | | | |